


Q1 How long have you been a member of the ECPR Standing Group on Internet and Politics?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Less than one year	18.42%	7
1-3 years	55.26%	21
3-6 years	13.16%	5
More than six years	13.16%	5
I don't know	0.00%	0
TOTAL		38

Q2 Do you belong to any other Standing Group?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	81.58%	31
No	18.42%	7
TOTAL		38

Q3 Which Standing Groups or Research Networks do you belong to?


Answered: 32 Skipped: 7



Standing Group on Internet and Politics member survey



Standing Group on Internet and Politics member survey



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
Analytical Politics and Public Choice	3.13% 1
Central and East European Politics	6.25% 2
Citizenship	3.13% 1
Comparative Political Institutions	6.25% 2
Critical Peace & Conflict Studies	0.00% 0
Democratic Innovations	18.75% 6
Elites and Political Leadership	12.50% 4
Environmental Politics	0.00% 0
European Union	12.50% 4
Extremism & Democracy	9.38% 3
Federalism and Regionalism	3.13% 1
Food Policy and Governance	0.00% 0

Standing Group on Internet and Politics member survey


Gender & Politics	3.13%	1
Human Rights and Transitional Justice	0.00%	0
Identity	3.13%	1
Interest Groups	0.00%	0
International Political Theory	3.13%	1
International Relations	9.38%	3
Kantian Political Thought	0.00%	0
Knowledge and Governance	0.00%	0
Knowledge Politics and Policies	3.13%	1
Latin American Politics	3.13%	1
Law & Courts	0.00%	0
Local Government and Politics	9.38%	3
Migration and Ethnicity	0.00%	0
Organised Crime	0.00%	0
Parliaments	9.38%	3
Participation and Mobilisation	28.13%	9
Political Communication	46.88%	15
Political Concepts	3.13%	1
Political Culture	3.13%	1
Political Economy and Welfare State Politics	3.13%	1
Political Methodology	9.38%	3
Political Networks	9.38%	3
Political Parties	37.50%	12
Political Psychology	3.13%	1
Political Representation	12.50%	4
Political Sociology	6.25%	2
Political Theory	0.00%	0
Political Violence	3.13%	1
Politics & Technology	6.25%	2
Politics and the Arts	0.00%	0
Presidential Politics	3.13%	1
Public Opinion and Voting Behaviour in a Comparative	6.25%	2
Regulatory Governance	9.38%	3
Religion and Politics	3.13%	1

Standing Group on Internet and Politics member survey

South East Europe	3.13%	1
Southern European Politics	6.25%	2
Teaching and Learning Politics	6.25%	2
Theoretical Perspectives in Policy Analysis	6.25%	2
Voting Advice Applications	6.25%	2
Total Respondents: 32		

Q4 Have you attended or participated in any SG Internet and Politics events?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	36.84%	14
No	63.16%	24
TOTAL		38

Q5 Which events did you attend/participate in?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 21




ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
The New 'Data Science' of Election Campaigning in Comparative Perspective (Workshop, Joint Sessions, Mons, 2019)	11.11%	2
Digital Politics and Politics of the Digital (Various panels, General Conference, Hamburg, 2018)	66.67%	12
Digital (non)Democracy? New Pathways to Participation and the Role of Political Institutions in the Digital Age (Workshop, Joint Sessions, Nicosia, 2018)	16.67%	3
Digital Media and the Spatial Transformation of Public Contention (Workshop, Joint Sessions, Nottingham, 2017)	0.00%	0
Internet and Politics: From Local to Global Politics (Various panels, General Conference, Prague, 2016)	11.11%	2
Cyber Politics (Graduate Conference, Tartu, 2016)	5.56%	1
Political Engagement in the Web.2.0 era. Co-Production in Election Campaigning (Workshop, Joint Sessions, Warsaw, 2015)	0.00%	0
Online collective action : theories and methods (Workshop, Joint Sessions, Mainz, 2013)	5.56%	1
Internet & Politics : towards new concepts in political science? (Various panels, General Conference, Bordeaux, 2013)	27.78%	5

Standing Group on Internet and Politics member survey

Parties and Campaigning in the Digital Era (Workshop, Joint Sessions, Antwerp, 2012)	0.00%	0
Internet and Politics: Bridging Current Research and Outlining Future Directions (Various panels, General Conference, Reykjavik, 2011)	11.11%	2
Total Respondents: 18		

Q6 Why did you not participate in any events?


Answered: 35 Skipped: 4



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES
I did not know about the events	25.71% 9
The calls did not fit my area of expertise	25.71% 9
Conflicting duties	77.14% 27
Other (please specify)	17.14% 6
Total Respondents: 35	

Q7 How did you find out about the Standing Group?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Through ECPR events	36.84%	14
Through the website	39.47%	15
Through colleagues	23.68%	9
Other (please specify)	0.00%	0
TOTAL		38

Q8 What benefits do you receive through your SG membership?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Information on ECPR events	76.32%	29
Information on other events in the field	73.68%	28
Networking	42.11%	16
Other (please specify)	2.63%	1
Total Respondents: 38		

Q9 Content-wise, the SG encompasses 3 main aspects of Internet and Politics research. Which aspect(s) describe(s) your own field of expertise best?


Answered: 39 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Political Participation	71.79%	28
E-Government	10.26%	4
Internet Governance	10.26%	4
Other (please specify)	7.69%	3
TOTAL		39

Q10 How do you find the SG's thematic scope: too wide, too specific or just fine?


Answered: 37 Skipped: 2



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Too wide	10.81%	4
Just fine	81.08%	30
Too specific	8.11%	3
TOTAL		37

Q11 The Standing Group should be redefined. Do you agree?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Yes	42.11%	16
No	57.89%	22
Total Respondents: 38		

Q12 What solution do you think should we approach for the future?


Answered: 39 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Split the SG into three separate SGs:E-Participation;E-Government;Internet Governance	20.51%	8
Dissolve the SG, because all aspects are covered by other SGs anyways	12.82%	5
Keep the group as it is	51.28%	20
Other (please specify)	23.08%	9
Total Respondents: 39		

Q13 What describes your occupation situation best?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 1



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
PhD candidate	34.21%	13
PostDoc	23.68%	9
Assistant Professor	26.32%	10
Full Professor	15.79%	6
TOTAL		38

Q14 What is your background?

Answered: 39 Skipped: 0



ANSWER CHOICES	RESPONSES	
Political Science	89.74%	35
Sociology	5.13%	2
Communication Science	17.95%	7
Other (please specify)	10.26%	4
Total Respondents: 39		

Q15 Would you like to share anything else with the Steering Committee?

Answered: 18 Skipped: 21

I think this group is unique and addresses a very important issue. I don't think it needs to be changed.
10/11/2019 5:44 AM [Add tags](#) - [View respondent's answers](#)

The website stated that there would be some kind of section at the last ECPR, but nothing happened. Then, after ECPR we received an email explaining why nothing had been organized. It would have been great if we were informed about these developments beforehand, so we knew what to expect from ECPR.
10/2/2019 11:32 AM [Add tags](#) - [View respondent's answers](#)

I would like to have some grants for PhD students, especially for them that are from a low economy country
9/29/2019 6:57 PM [Add tags](#) - [View respondent's answers](#)

Thank you for allowing us to continue being part despite not taking part regularly in events. This might change in the future depending on opportunities.
9/26/2019 4:02 PM [Add tags](#) - [View respondent's answers](#)

First of all, thank you for your work! Second, as an early career researcher, I would love to see more opportunities for mentoring and collaboration.
9/26/2019 3:28 PM